# WEEKLY TOWN BOARD

# OCTOBER 8, 2014 7:30 P.M. TOWN HALL

# AGENDA

1. Resolution authorizing Kevin Donohue, Code Enforcement Officer to forward the Draft Hazard Mitigation Plan to Putnam County.

2. Budget workshop with the Recreation Department.

3. Adjournment.

# RESOLUTION

The following Resolution was presented by \_\_\_\_\_, seconded by \_\_\_\_\_ and unanimously carried;

RESOLVED, that the Town Board hereby authorizes Kevin Donohue, Code Enforcement Officer to forward the "Draft Annex All Hazard Mitigation Plan "to Putnam County Bureau of Emergency Services for inclusion in the countywide plan.



# 9.8 Town of Philipstown

This section presents the jurisdictional annex for the Town of Philipstown.

# 9.8.1 Hazard Mitigation Plan Point of Contact

The following individuals have been identified as the hazard mitigation plan's primary and alternate points of contact.

Primary Point of Contact	Alternate Point of Contact
Kevin Donohue, CFM; Code Enforcement Officer and NFIP Floodplain Administrator 238 Main Street, Cold Spring, NY (845) 265-3329 kcdonohue@philipstown.com	Richard Shea, Supervisor 238 Main Street, Cold Spring, NY 845-265-5200 <u>supervisor@philipstown.com</u>

## 9.8.2 Municipal Profile

Philipstown is a Class 2 community with three State Road corridors, Route 9, Route 9D and Route 301. Philipstown was the site for the American Revolution and the encampment of the Continental Army for which the protection the Hudson Highlands and West Point chain and cannonade was made. Many Revolutionary War historical places and ruins are located throughout the town. The ruminants of 18<sup>th</sup> century industry and mining activities are present throughout the community. The west side of Philipstown has approximately 10 miles of shore line along the Hudson River. The Hudson Highlands bound the southern border and the Breakneck Mountain on the north. The east side is bound by Fahnestock Park. %50 of the town land is NYS Park or open preservation with nonprofit groups. The town has many affluent residential estates, volunteer fire departments and several community social and outreach organizations.

## Population

According to the 2010 U.S. Census, the population of the Town of Philipstown was 9,662.

#### Location

The Town is located in the western part of Putnam County. It was a total area of 51.5 square miles, of which 48.9 square miles is land and 2.7 square miles is water.

#### **Brief History**

The town was first settled around 1715. Established in 1788 as one of the three original towns in what is now Putnam County, Philipstown's main population centers are the village of Cold Spring, the hamlet of Garrison, and the village of Nelsonville. In 1806, part of the town was used to form the town of Fishkill. Putnam Valley was part of Philipstown until 1839, and a small portion of the town north of Putnam Valley was transferred to Kent in 1877.

#### **Governing Body Format**

The Town is governed by a town supervisor and a five-member town board.

#### Growth/Development Trends

The following table summarizes major development that occurred in the municipality over the past five years, as well as known or anticipated future development in the next five (5) years. Refer to the map in section 9.8.8 of this annex which illustrates the hazard areas along with the location of potential new development.





## Table 9.8-1. Growth and Development

Property Name	Type (Residential or Commercial)	Number of Structures	Address / Parcel ID(s)	Known Hazard Zone*	Description / Status
20 Hudson Highlands Reserve	Residential	25 lot residential subdivision	NYS Rt. 9 & East Mountain Rd. North	Wildfire: Intermix; Landslide: High	Pending Approval, Under Review
ENTERGY	Non- Residential	20.000 sq. ft. Emergency Operations Building with associated access, parking and on-site utilities	Horsemen's Trail 161-5	Landslide: High; Karst: Short 3	Approved
Glassbury Court (aka Quarry Pond)	Residential	54 single- family homes in Adult Active community	NYS Rt. 9 161-38	Wildfire: Interface; NEHRP: D; Landslide: High	Approved, Under Construction
Graymoor - New Friary	Residential	Remove existing 21,750 sq. ft. friary, construct new 29,270 sq. ft. friary & related infrast ructure improvemen ts	NYS Rt. 9 822-41	Landslide: High	Approved
Olspan, LLC	Non- Residential	Renovation of existing 10,800 sq. ft. light manufactur ing / office building & Construcy 8,700 sq. ft. addition for personal property storage	NYS Rt. 9 383-24.2	Wildfire: Intermix; NEHRP: D; Landslide: High	Pending Approval, Under Review

\* Only location-specific hazard zones or vulnerabilities identified.

Source: June 2014 "Large Development Projects Report", Putnam County Department of Planning, Development and Transportation; as amended by municipality

# 9.8.3 Natural Hazard Event History Specific to the Municipality

Putnam County has a history of natural hazard events as detailed in Volume I, Section 5.0 of this plan. A summary of historical events is provided in each of the hazard profiles and includes a chronology of events that have affected the County and its municipalities. The table below presents a summary of natural events





that have occurred to indicate the range and impact of natural hazard events in the community. Information regarding specific damages is included if available based on reference material or local sources. For details of events prior to 2008, refer to Volume I, Section 5.0 of this plan.

-				
Dates of Event	Event Type	FEMA Declaration # (If Applicable)	County Designated?	Summary of Damages/Losses
August 18, 1965	Water Shortage	DR-204	Yes	
September 13, 1971	Severe Storms & Flooding	DR-311	Yes	
October 2, 1975	Storms, Rains, Landslides & Flooding	DR-487	Yes	
October 4, 1987	Severe Winter Storm	DR-801	Yes	
August 1990	Flooding	N/A	N/A	Putnam and Westchester Counties had \$5 M in property damage
March 13 -17. 1993	Severe Blizzard	EM-3107	Yes	
January 6-12, 1996	Blizzard Of 96 (Severe Snow Storm)	DR-1083	Yes	
January 19- 30, 1996	Severe Storms And Flooding	DR-1095	Yes	
July 9. 1997	Thunderstorm / Wind	N/A	N/A	\$30K in property damage in Lake Carmel
July 4-6, 1999	Extreme Heat	N/A	N/A	
September 16- 18, 1999	Hurricane Floyd Major Disaster Declarations	DR-1296	Yes	\$1.9 M in property damage Countywide
January 17, 2000	Extreme Cold / Wind Chill	N/A	N/A	
January 21. 2000	Extreme Cold / Wind Chill	N/A	N/A	
May 22 – November 1, 2000	West Nile Virus	EM-3155	Yes	
August 8-10, 2001	Extreme Heat	N/A	N/A	
September 11, 2001	Fires And Explosions	DR-1391	Yes	

### Table 9.8-2. Hazard Event History





Dates of Event	Event Type	FEMA Declaration # [If Applicable]	County Designated?	Summary of Damages/Losses
November 2001 – January 2002	Drought	N/A	N/A	NYC's combined storage in water system reservoir systems was at a low 41% capacity
April - October 2002	Drought	N/A	N/A	Groundwater and water storage facilities were below normal. NYC reservoir system reached a low of 64.5%.
July 2-4, 2002	Extreme Heat	N/A	N/A	
July 9, 2002	Lightning	N/A	N/A	Lightning strike caused several fires in Mahopac Falls; approximately \$500 K in property damage.
February 17- 18. 2003	Snow	EM-3184	Yes	
August 14-16, 2003	Power Outage	EM-3186	Yes	
May 13 – June 17, 2004	Severe Storms & Flooding	DR-1534	Yes	
April 2-4, 2005	Severe Storms & Flooding	DR-1589	Yes	
August 29 – October 1. 2005	Hurricane Katrina Evacuation	EM-3262	Yes	
August 1-3, 2006	Extreme Heat	N/A	N/A	
April 14-18, 2007	Severe Storms & Inland & Coastal Flooding	DR-1692	Yes	
August 11, 2008	Lightning	N/A	N/A	Lightning struck and destroyed a barn in Milltown; approximately \$75 K in property damage.
December 11- 31. 2008	Severe Winter Storm	EM-3299	Yes	
September 30. 2010	Strong Wind	N/A	N/A	Strong winds downed power lines and trees; power outages; approximately \$50 K in property damage
March 6-7, 2011	Severe Winter Storm (Snow)	N/A	N/A	Indian Brook Road, Philipsebrook Road, Old Manitou Road washed out. Flooding at 3 Brookside Lane See Annex A
August 26 – September 5, 2011	Hurricane Irene	DR-4020	Yes	Dam failure on Trout Brook in State Park that washed out Town road. Walmer Bridge and road washed out. See Annex B
October 27 – October 8, 2012	Hurricane Sandy	DR-4085	Yes	Storm surge building flooding at Hudson River Lane and Garrison Landing. See Annex C





Notes:

EM Emergency Declaration (FEMA) FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency DR Major Disaster Declaration (FEMA) IA Individual Assistance NA Not applicable PA Public Assistance

## 9.8.4 Hazard Vulnerabilities and Ranking

The hazard profiles in Section 5.0 of this plan have detailed information regarding each plan participant's vulnerability to the identified hazards. The following summarizes the hazard vulnerabilities and their ranking in the Town of Philipstown. For additional vulnerability information relevant to this jurisdiction, refer to Section 5.0.

### Hazard Risk/Vulnerability Risk Ranking

The table below summarizes the hazard risk/vulnerability rankings of potential hazards for Town of Philipstown.

#### Table 9.8-3. Hazard Risk/Vulnerability Risk Ranking

Hazard type	Estimate of Potential Dollar Losses to Structures Vulnerable to the Bazard <sup>4064</sup>	Probability of Occurrence *	Risk Ranking Score (Probability x hupact)	
Earthquake	100-Year GBS: \$0 500-Year GBS: \$402,364 2,500-Year GBS: \$8,286,973	Occasional	12	Low
Extreme Temperature	Damage estimate not available	Frequent	21	Medium
Flood	1% Annual Chance: \$44,746.860	Frequent	18	Medium
Landslide	RCV Exposed: \$1,900,133,809	Frequent	54	High
Severe Storm	100-Year MRP: \$735,046 500-year MRP: \$4,696,162 Annualized: \$81,211	Frequent	48	High
Severe Winter Storm	1% GBS: \$10,486,149 5% GBS: \$52,430,743	Frequent	51	High
Wildfire	Estimated Value in the WUI: \$1,483,761,713	Frequent	42	High

a. Building damage ratio estimates based on FEMA 386-2 (August 2001)

b. The valuation of general building stock and loss estimates was based on the custom inventory developed for Putnam County and

probabilistic modeling results and exposure analysis as discussed in Section 5.

c. The earthquake and hurricane wind hazards were evaluated by Census tract. The Census tracts do not exactly align with municipal boundaries; therefore, a total is reported for each Town inclusive of the Villages within the Town boundary.

d. Frequent = Hazard event is likely to occur within 25 years. Occasional = Hazard event is likely to occur within 100 years

Rare = Hazard event is not likely to occur within 100 years The estimated potential losses for Severe Storm are from the HAZUS-MH probabilistic hurricane wind model results. See footnote c.

GBS = General building stock

MRP = Mean return period

RCV = Replacement cost value

#### National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Summary

The following table summarizes the NFIP statistics for the municipality.

#### Table 9.8-4. NFIP Summary





Municipality	# Policies	# Claims (Losses) (1)	Total Loss Payments (2)	# Rep. Loss Prop. (1)	# Severe Rep. Loss Prop. [1]	# Policies in 100-year Boundary [3]
Town of Philipstown	82	36	\$1,119,896.61	2	0	16

Source: FEMA, 2014

Note (1) Policies, claims, repetitive loss and severe repetitive loss statistics provided by FEMA and are current as of February 28, 2014 and are summarized by Community Name. Please note the total number of repetitive loss properties excludes the severe repetitive loss properties. The number of claims represents claims closed by 2/28/2014.

Note (2) Total building and content losses from the claims file provided by FEMA Region 2.

Note (3) The policies inside and outside of the flood zones is based on the latitude and longitude provided by FEMA Region 2 in the policy file.

### **Critical Facilities**

The table below presents HAZUS-MH estimates of the damage and loss of use to critical facilities in the community as a result of a 1- and 0.2-percent annual chance flood events.

There are more than 25 dams. 3 Class C, 10 Class B and 12 Class A dams. Source NYS DEC.

#### Table 9.8-5. Potential Flood Losses to Critical Facilities to life

					Potential Loss fro 1% Flood Even	
Name		1% Event	0.2% Event	Percent Structure Damage	Percent Content Damage	Days to 100- Percent[2]
Lake Surprise Dam	RE CN Dam - B					
Upper Cold Spring Reservoir Dam	CN Dam - C					
Lower Cold Spring Reservoir Dam	CN Dam - C					
Lake Valhalla Dam	RE CN Dam - B					
Foundry Brook Dam	CN Dam - A					
Cargil Dam (City of Beacon)	RE Dam - C					
Sussmeier Pond Dam	RE Dam - A					
East Mountain Lake Dam	CN Dam - B					
Frank & Cooper Pond Dam	RE CN Dam - A					
Trout Brook Lake Dam	RE Dam - A					
Weise Pond Dam	CN Dam - A					
David Ulmar Pond Dam	RE Dam - A					NORTHWERE T
Evelina Perkins Pond Dam	RE Dam - A					
Perkins East Pond Dam	RE Dam - B					
Jordan Pond Dam	RE CN Dam - B					
Foundry Brook Dam	CN Dam - A					
Lock Lyal Dam	CN Dam - B					





		Exposure		Potential Loss from 1% Flood Event			
Name		1% Event	0.2% Event	Percent Structure Damage	Percent Content Damage	Days to 100- Percent(2)	
Barrett Pond Dam	RE Dam - ?						
Sloan Dam	MS RE Dam - A						
Colt Estate Dam	MS RE Dam - B						
Continental Village Dam	Dam - B						

Source: HAZUS-MH 2.1

Note: Please note it is assumed the wells and pump stations have electrical equipment and openings are three-feet above grade. If depth of water is less than 3 feet, no estimated damages are calculated.

NP Not provided by HAZUS

*x* Facility located within the DFIRM boundary.

No loss calculated by HAZUS

NA Not calculated in HAZUS

*NF HAZUS estimate the facility will not be functional* 

(1) HAZUS-MH 2.1 provides a general indication of the maximum restoration time for 100% operations. Clearly, a great deal of effort is needed to quickly restore essential facilities to full functionality; therefore this will be an indication of the maximum downtime (HAZUS-MH 2.1 User Manual).

(2) In some cases, a facility may be located in the DFIRM flood hazard boundary; however HAZUS did not calculate potential loss. This may be because the depth of flooding does not amount to any damages to the structure according to the depth damage function used in HAZUS for that facility type.

(3) Dams located in the floodplain are not listed in the table above. HAZUS does not calculate potential losses to a dam as a result of a flood event.

# **Other Vulnerabilities Identified by Municipality**

According to the 2013 FEMA Flood Insurance Study (FIS) for Putnam County, in the Town of Philipstown, after a heavy rainfall, Clove Creek rose five to 10 inches. This rise in channel height causes abutments of a bridge to erode significantly. The erosion has also continued along Clove Creek's overbanks. It was also noted that, at another time, the channel level reached the top of the bridge behind a restaurant located near U.S. Route 9 (FEMA FIS 2013).

In addition to those identified above, the municipality has identified the following vulnerabilities:

- Cloud Bank and Old Manitou Road Old Manitou Station Road is at the base of a steep mountain slope. During a rain event the stormwater will wash out Old Manitou Road at Cloud Bank Road. Existed since developed 100 years ago.
- Brookside Drive and Valley Lane Are located at the base of a steep mountain next to Sprout Brook Creek. During a rain event stormwater floods the street and homes. Existed since 1940's. Homes are flood damaged. Repetitive Loss through the NFIP.
- Barret Pond and Fishkill Road/Route 9: Barret Pond discharges water under a home. During a rain event the stormwater floods four homes creating repetitive losses. Existed since the 1950's.
- Old Manitou Station Road to Hudson River Lane: Manitou Road is the sole access to 14 homes on Hudson River Lane. Hurricane Sandy storm surge flooded Manitou Station Road preventing access to Hudson River Lane. The condition has existed since Manitou Station and Mystery Point (Metro-North train stop) was developed in the late 1800's. Obstruction of access, prevention fire and rescue equipment from crossing flooded road, potential for loss of life.
- Old Albany Post Road: Drainage/flooding problems particularly affecting one residential property on the east side of Old Albany Post Road.
- Clove Creek: Debris in stream and at Walmer Road Bridge increasing flood risk. Stream bank erosion issues.
- Sprout Brook Road: Debris in stream from Old Albany Post Road storm erosion, increasing flood risk.





- Fishkill Road: Debris in Foundry Brook at the intersection of Fishkill Road and 301 for a distance of approximately 700 linear feet.
- Wiccopee Road Culvert: As a result of Irene, the culvert system under Wiccopee Road just northof the intersection of Wiccopee Road and Peekskill Hollow Road experienced significantly higherthan normal inflows due to excess surface water runoff. As a result, the two 48" diameter by 36 footlong pipes that convey the stream under the roadway became filled with debris and the roadway wasovertopped by the stream causing roadway deterioration as well a collapsing the conveyance pipesunder the roadway.
- All municipalities and relevant staff need E900/901 training. There are no county wide CERT teams. They would benefit from 2-3 CERT teams, east and west of the Parkway.
- · Copperhead Mine Brook Issue on Old Manitou Road and South Mountain Pass.
- 5 Old Albany Post Road and Upland Drive: Flooding drainage issues.
- Highland Road: Beaver dam issue.
- Philips Road Bridge: During a heavy rain event the Philipse Brook Creek bypasses the bridge on Philipesbrook Road causing severe erosion to the road.
- 183 South Highland Road at Saunders Farm Road: Flooding issue.
- Dangerous trees threatening utilities need for better coordination with utility companies.
- · Steep slopes are a concern, particularly for future development within such areas.
- NFIP Floodplain Mapping issues at Ashley Lane and Route 301 and Route 403 and Route 9.
- Insufficient sheltering to address needs wests of the Parkway.
- · Town Highway Garage Truck wash area required for removing salt/calcium from vehicles.
- Radio Communications Tower at 59 Lane Gate Road lacks backup power (critical facility)
- Unregulated dams.





### 9.8.5 Capability Assessment

This section identifies the following capabilities of the local jurisdiction:

- Planning and regulatory capability
- Administrative and technical capability
- Fiscal capability
- Community classification
- National Flood Insurance Program
- Integration of Mitigation Planning into Existing and Future Planning Mechanisms

### **Planning and Regulatory Capability**

The table below summarizes the regulatory tools that are available to the municipality.

### Table 9.8-6. Planning and Regulatory Tools

Tool / Program (code, ordinance, plan)	Do you have this? (Y/N)	Authority (local, county, state, federal)	Dept. / Agency Responsible	Code Citation and Comments (Code Chapter, date of adoption, name of glan, explanation of authority, etc.)
Building Code	Y	NYS	Code Enforcement	Chapter 62
Zoning Ordinance	Y	Local	Code Enforcement	Chapter 175
Subdivision Ordinance	Y	Local	Planning Board	Chapter 112
Site Plan Review Requirements	Y	Local	Planning Board	Chapter 175
National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Flood Damage Protection Ordinance	Y	Federal, State, Local	Code Enforcement	Chapter 90
NFIP - Freeboard	Y	State, Local	Code Enforcement	Chapter 90-16 & 90-17 State mandated BFE+2 for single and two- family residential construction, BFE+1 for all other construction types.
NFIP - Cumulative Substantial Damages	N	Local	Code Enforcement	
Comprehensive Plan / Master Plan	Y	Local	Town Board	Adopted March 9, 2006
Capital Improvements Plan	N			
Stormwater Management Plan/Ordinance	N	0 0		
Floodplain Management / Basin Plan	N			
Open Space or Greenway Plan	Y	Local	Town Board	March 9, 2006
Emergency Management and/ or Response Plan	N			
Economic Development Plan	N	Sector Sector		
Local Waterfront Revitalization Plan (for waterfront communities)	N			
Post Disaster Recovery Plan and/or Ordinance	N			
Growth Management	Y	Local	Town Board	October 20, 2006
Real Estate Disclosure req.	N			
Habitat Conservation Plan	Y	Local	Town Board	March 9, 2006
Special Purpose Ordinances (e.g. wetlands, critical or sensitive areas)	Y	Local	Conservation Board	Chapter 93





(1) NYS Subdivision laws provide a general framework, but allow room for local ordinances and interpretation.

## Administrative and Technical Capability

The table below summarizes potential staff and personnel resources available to the Town of Philipstown.

#### Table 9.8-7. Administrative and Technical Capabilities

Staff/ Personnel Resources	Available (Y or N)	Department/ Agency/Position
Planner(s) or Engineer(s) with knowledge of land development and land management practices	N	
Engineer(s) or Professional(s) trained in construction practices related to buildings and/or infrastructure	N	
Planners or engineers with an understanding of natural hazards	N	
NFIP Floodplain Administrator	Y	Code Enforcement
Surveyor(s)	N	
Personnel skilled or trained in "GIS" applications	N	
Scientist familiar with natural hazards in the County.	N	
Emergency Manager	N	
Grant Writer(s)	N	
Staff with expertise or training in benefit/cost analysis	Y	Code Enforcement

#### **Fiscal Capability**

The table below summarizes financial resources available to the Town of Philipstown.

Table 9.8-8. Fiscal Capabilities

Financial Resources	Arcessible of Highle to Use (Yes/No/Don't Know)
Community Development Block Grants (CDBG)	N
Capital Improvements Project Funding	N
Authority to Levy Taxes for specific purposes	Sometimes, Town Board
User fees for water, sewer, gas or electric service	N
Impact Fees for homebuyers or developers of new development/homes	N
Incur debt through general obligation bonds	N
Incur debt through special tax bonds	N
Incur debt through private activity bonds	N
Withhold public expenditures in hazard-prone areas	N
Mitigation grant program	N
Other	TBD

#### **Community Classifications**

The table below summarizes classifications for community program available to the Town of Philipstown.

#### Table 9.8-9. Community Classifications

Program	Classification	Date Classified
Community Rating System (CRS)	NP	
Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule (BCEGS)	4	March 11, 2005
Public Protection	TBD	
Storm Ready	NP	





Program	Classification	Date Classified
Firewise	NP	
N/A = Not applicable. NP = Not participating =	Unavailable. TBD = To be determined.	

The classifications listed above relate to the community's ability to provide effective services to lessen its vulnerability to the hazards identified. These classifications can be viewed as a gauge of the community's capabilities in all phases of emergency management (preparedness, response, recovery and mitigation) and are used as an underwriting parameter for determining the costs of various forms of insurance. The CRS class applies to flood insurance while the BCEGS and Public Protection classifications apply to standard property insurance. CRS classifications range on a scale of 1 to 10 with class 1 being the best possible classification, and class 10 representing no classification benefit. Firewise classifications include a higher classification when the subject property is located beyond 1000 feet of a creditable fire hydrant and is within 5 road miles of a recognized Fire Station.

Criteria for classification credits are outlined in the following documents:

- The Community Rating System Coordinators Manual
- The Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule
- The ISO Mitigation online ISO's Public Protection website at http://www.isomitigation.com/ppc/ 0000/ppc0001.html
- The National Weather Service Storm Ready website at http://www.weather.gov/stormready/ howto.htm
- The National Firewise Communities website at http://firewise.org/

#### National Flood Insurance Program

The following section provides details on the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) as implemented within the municipality:

NFIP Floodplain Administrator: Kevin Donohue, CFM; Code Enforcement Officer

#### Program and Compliance History:

Town of Philipstown joined the NFIP in [CCO - June 1, 1979], and is currently an active member of the NFIP. The current effective Flood Insurance Rate Maps are dated [March 4, 2013].

As of July 31, 2014 there are 78 policies in force, insuring \$22 million of property with total annual insurance premiums of \$78,036.

The Town is currently in good standing in the NFIP. The most recent CAV was performed in [Unknown, Contact NYS DEC Region 3].

#### Loss History and Mitigation:

Since 1978, 36 claims have been paid totaling \$1,119,897. As of April, 2014 there are 2 Repetitive Loss and no Severe Repetitive Loss properties in the community.

Planning and Regulatory Capabilities:

Administrative and Technical Capabilities:



-----

Public Education and Outreach:

Actions to Strengthen the Program:

### **Community Rating System**

The Town does not participate in the Community Rating System (CRS) program.

#### Integration of Hazard Mitigation into Existing and Future Planning Mechanisms

For a community to succeed in reducing long-term risk, hazard mitigation must be integrated into the dayto-day local government operations. As part of this planning effort, each community was surveyed to obtain a better understanding of their community's progress in plan integration. A summary is provided below. In addition, the community identified specific integration activities that have been/will be incorporated into municipal procedures which may include former mitigation initiatives that have become continuous/on-going programs and may be considered mitigation 'capabilities'.

- Land Use Ordinances Steep Slopes: The Town adopted a Steep Slopes ordinance to help manage the risk of development in such hazard areas.
- Land Use Planning and Site Plan Review: The Town has a Conservation Board that has statutory responsibility for land use planning and site plan review, and considers natural hazard risk areas during the review process.
- NFIP Community Rating System (CRS): The Town intends to join CRS.





## 9.8.6 Mitigation Strategy and Prioritization

This section discusses past mitigations actions and status, describes proposed hazard mitigation initiatives, and prioritization.

### **Past Mitigation Activity**

The municipality identifies the following mitigation projects and/or initiatives have been completed in the past:

- Private Property Beale road has private flooding which causes hazardous condition. This hazard has been mitigated- cost approx. \$9,000
- 146 Hustis Road same issue. This has been mitigated. This is in a flood zone (Lake Surprise). The mitigation prevents water from entering adjacent property.

#### Proposed Hazard Mitigation Initiatives for the Plan

The Town of Philipstown identified mitigation initiatives they would like to pursue in the future. Some of these initiatives may be previous actions carried forward for this Plan. These initiatives are dependent upon available funding (grants and local match availability) and may be modified or omitted at any time based on the occurrence of new hazard events and changes in municipal priorities. Table 9.8-11 identifies the municipality's updated local mitigation strategy.

As discussed in Section 6, 14 evaluation/prioritization criteria are used to complete the prioritization of mitigation initiatives. For each new mitigation action, a numeric rank is assigned (-1, 0, or 1) for each of the 14 evaluation criteria to assist with prioritizing actions as 'High', 'Medium', or 'Low.' The table below summarizes the evaluation of each mitigation initiative, listed by Action Number.

Table 9.8-12 provides a summary of the prioritization of all proposed mitigation initiatives for the Plan.





### Table 9.8-10. Proposed Hazard Mitigation Initiatives

Initiative	Mitigation Initiative	Applies to New and/ or Existing Structures*	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Goals / Objectives Met	Lead and Support Agencies	Estimated Benefits	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline	Priority	Mitigation Category
PHI- 1 (LOI #152)	Manitou Station Road	See Action Wor (PHI-1 - Old Ma	ksheet mitou Road - LO	I 152 - 081514)							
PHI-2 (LOI #156	Old Manitou Road and Cloudbank Road	See Action Wor (PHI-2 - Cloud )	ksheet Bank and Old Ma	nitou - LOI 156	- 081414)						
PHI-3 (LOI #160)	Brookside and Valley Lane Mitigation	See Action Wor (PHI-3 - Brooks	ksheet ide and Valley La	ane Mitigation - I	LOI 160 - 081514)						
PHI-4 (LOI #161)	Barret Pond and Fishkill Road	See Action Wor (PHI-4 - Barret )	ksheet Pond and Fishkill	Road - LOI 161	- 081414)						
PHI-5 (LOI #161)	Philips Brook Bridge	k See Action Worksheet (PHI-5 - Philips Brook Bridge - LOI 162 - 081414)									
PHI-6 (LOI #167)	Avery Road and Snake Hill Road	See Action Wor (PHI-6 - Avery	ksheet Road and Snake I	Hill Road - LOI I	67 - 081414)	Z	1				
PHI-7 (LOI #168)	Indian Brook and Bird/ Bottle Inn	See Action Wor (PHI-7 - Indian	ksheet Brook - LOI 168	- 081414)							
PHI-8 (LOI #170)	147 Hustis Road	See Action Wor (PHI-8 - 147 Hu	ksheet stis Road - LOI 1	70 - 081414)			Y				
PHI- 9 (LOI #172)	1143 Old Albany Post Road	See Action Wor (PHI-9 - 1143 O	ksheet Id Albany Post R	oad - LOI 172 - 1	081414)					- 54	
PHI-10 (LOI #173)	Old Albany Post Rd and Sprout Brook Rd Rd										
PHI-11	Back-Up Generator for Radio Tower	See Action Wor (PHI-11 – Back	ksheet Up Power for Ra	dio Tower - 0815	514)						
	5 Old Albany Po Highland Road: 183 South Highl	st Road and Uplan Beaver dam issue and Road at Saund	d Drive: Season	al Storm water er Flooding issue	osion and damage to in	frastructure.					





Initiative	Mitigation Initiative	Applies to New and/ or Existing Structures*	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Goals / Objectives Met	Lead and Support Agencies	Estimated Benefits	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline	Priority	Mitigation Category
	See above. Promote and sup such as acquisiti Specifically ider Valley Lane, Ga Sprout Brook Re Brookside Road Fishkill Road	oport non-structura on/relocation or el- ntified are propertie rrison oad, Garrison , Garrison	I flood hazard mit evation depending es in the following	ligation alternativ g on feasibility. T g areas:	es for at risk properties he parameters for this in	within the floodpl nitiative would be:	ain, including the funding, benefits	se that have been ic s versus cost and wi	lentified as Repetiti Iling participation o	ve Loss (RL), f property own	ners.
	See above.	Exiting	Flooding, Severe Storm		Town NFIP FPA; support from NYSOEM and FEMA	High - Reduced or eliminated risk to property damage from flooding	High	FEMA or other mitigation grant funding, NFIP flood insurance and ICC; property owner for local match.	Long-term DOF	High	SIP, EAP
	Renew relationships and improve coordination with all utilities for the removal of dangerous trees. The cost to the town is \$150 per ton for disposal.	Existing	Severe Storm, Severe Winter Storm		working with utilities	Medium – Reduced power outages and associated life safety issues.	Low - Medium	Local funding			NRP: EAP
	Work with NYSDEC and FEMA to address NFIP Floodplain Mapping issues at Ashley Lane and Route 301	N/A	Flood		Town NFIP FPA; NYSDEC, FEMA	Medium – Proper identification of flood risk at this location	Low	Local funding			LPR; EAP
	Assist the owner and has a 2008 M	r of the Town Recr Memorandum of U	eation Center (for nderstanding (MC	mer old school) t DU) with Americ	to become a shelter that an Red Cross (ARC). It	would address she has a generator ar	eltering needs we and new boilers an	st of the Parkway. T d can currently acco	This currently serves ommodate up to 200	s as a comfort people.	station,
	See above.	Existing			, supporting facility Recreational Director: ARC	Medium – High; Life safety	Medium - High				





Initiative	Mitigation Initiative	Applies to New and/ or Existing Structures*	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Goals / Objectives Met	Lead and Support Agencies	Estimated Benefits	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline	Priority	Mitigation Category
	Join the NFIP Community Rating System (CRS) program	N/A	Flood		NFIP FPA, with support from NYSDEC and ISO	Medium – High: Improved floodplain management	Medium	Municipal Budget			
	Improve emerge Encourage all m Assist with the e	ncy management, unicipalities and re stablishment of co	response and reco elevant staff to get unty wide CERT	overy capabilities t E900/901 traini (Community Em	through the following a ng. lergency Response Tean	activities: ns) teams; specific	cally with 2-3 CE	RT teams east and w	vest of the Parkway.		
1	See Above.				10						
PHI-X (LOI #2187)	Garrison VFD Backup Power	See Action Wor (PHI-X - Garris	ksheet on VFD Backup I	Power - LOI 218	7 - 081414)						
Notes:			100	2					-		

Not all acromyms and abbreviations defined below are included in the table.

\*Does this mitigation initiative reduce the effects of hazards on new and/or existing buildings and/or infrastructure? Not applicable (N/A) is inserted if this does not apply.

- Acronyms and Abbreviations:
- CAV Community Assistance Visit
- CRS Community Rating System
- DPW Department of Public Works
- FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency
- FPA Floodplain Administrator
- HMA Hazard Mitigation Assistance

#### Potential FEMA HMA Funding Sources:

- FMA Flood Mitigation Assistance Grant Program
- HMGP Hazard Mitigation Grant Program
- PDM Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant Program
- RFC Repetitive Flood Claims Grant Program
- SRL Severe Repetitive Loss Grant Program

### <u>Timeline:</u>

Short	1 to 5 years
Long Term	5 years or greater
OG	On-going program
DOF	Depending on funding

N	01	appl	ical	ble	

N/A

- NFIP National Flood Insurance Program
- NYCDEP New York City Department of Environmental Protection
- NYSDEC New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
- NYSOEM New York State Office of Emergency Management
- OEM Office of Emergency Management



Where actual project costs cannot reasonably be established at this time:

Low Possible to fund under existing budget. Project is part of, or can be part of an existing on-going program.

Medium Could budget for under existing work plan, but would require a reapportionment of the budget or a budget amendment, or the cost of the project would have to be spread over multiple years.

High Would require an increase in revenue via an alternative source (i.e., bonds, grants, fee increases) to implement. Existing funding levels are not adequate to cover the costs of the proposed project.

#### Benefits:

Where possible, an estimate of project benefits (per FEMA's benefit calculation methodology) has been evaluated against the project costs, and is presented as:

Low= < \$10,000

Medium \$10,000 to \$100,000

High > \$100,000

Where numerical project benefits cannot reasonably be established at this time: Low Long-term benefits of the project are difficult to quantify in the short term.

Medium Project will have a long-term impact on the reduction of risk exposure to life and property, or project will provide an immediate reduction in the risk exposure to property.

High Project will have an immediate impact on the reduction of risk exposure to life and property.

#### Mitigation Category:

- Local Plans and Regulations (LPR) These actions include government authorities, policies or codes that influence the way land and buildings are being developed and built.
- Structure and Infrastructure Project (SIP)- These actions involve modifying existing structures and infrastructure to protect them from a hazard or remove them from a hazard area. This could apply to public or private structures as well as critical facilities and infrastructure. This type of action also involves projects to construct manmade structures to reduce the impact of hazards.
- Natural Systems Protection (NSP) These are actions that minimize damage and losses, and also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems.
- Education and Awareness Programs (EAP) These are actions to inform and educate citizens, elected officials, and property owners about hazards and potential ways to mitigate them.
  These actions may also include participation in national programs, such as StormReady and Firewise Communities

CRS Category:

- Preventative Measures (PR) Government, administrative or regulatory actions, or processes that influence the way land and buildings are developed and built. Examples include planning
  and zoning, floodplain local laws, capital improvement programs, open space preservation, and storm water management regulations.
- Property Protection (PP) These actions include public activities to reduce hazard losses or actions that involve (1) modification of existing buildings or structures to protect them from a hazard or (2) removal of the structures from the hazard area. Examples include acquisition, elevation, relocation, structural retrofits, storm shutters, and shatter-resistant glass.
- Public Information (PI) Actions to inform and educate citizens, elected officials, and property owners about hazards and potential ways to mitigate them. Such actions include outreach projects, real estate disclosure, hazard information centers, and educational programs for school-age children and adults.
- Natural Resource Protection (NR) Actions that minimize hazard loss and also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems. These actions include sediment and erosion control, stream corridor restoration, watershed management, forest and vegetation management, and welland restoration and preservation.
- Structural Flood Control Projects (SP) Actions that involve the construction of structures to reduce the impact of a hazard. Such structures include dams, setback levees, floodwalls, retaining walls, and safe rooms.
- Emergency Services (ES) Actions that protect people and property during and immediately following a disaster or hazard event. Services include warning systems, emergency response services, and the protection of essential facilities





### Table 9.8-11. Summary of Prioritization of Actions

Table 9.0-11. 501	ninary of Prioritization of Act	IOIIS						An								_	
Mitigation Action/ Project Number	Mitigation Action/Initiative	Life Safety	Property Protection	<b>Cost-Effectiveness</b>	Technical	Political	Legal	Fiscal	Environmental	Social	Administrative	Multi-Hazard	Timeline	Agency Champion	Other Community Objectives	Total	High / Medium / Low
PHI-1 (LOI #152)	Manitou Station Road	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	11	High
PHI-2 (LOI #156	Old Manitou Road and Cloudbank Road							- 1				2				-	
PHI-3 (LOI #160)	Brookside and Valley Lane Mitigation	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	9	High
PHI-4 (LOI #161)	Barret Pond and Fishkill Road	-		1	/	1				-			1	5_			
PHI-5 (LOI #161)	Philips Brook Bridge																
PHI-6 (LOI #167)	Avery Road and Snake Hill Road																
PHI-7 (LOI #168)	Indian Brook and Bird/Bottle Inn																
PHI-8 (LOI #170)	147 Hustis Road	2							1								
PHI-9 (LOI #172)	1143 Old Albany Post Road	-															
PHI-10 (LOI #173)	Old Albany Post Rd and Sprout Brook Rd		-	-				1									
PHI-11	Back-Up Generator for Radio Tower	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	13	High
		1000															
PHI-X (LOI #2187)	Garrison VFD Backup Power		5				-	<b></b>									

Note: Refer to Section 6 which contains the guidance on conducting the prioritization of mitigation actions.





# 9.8.7 Future Needs To Better Understand Risk/Vulnerability

None at this time.

# 9.8.8 Hazard Area Extent and Location

Hazard area extent and location maps have been generated for the Town of Philipstown that illustrate the probable areas impacted within the municipality. These maps are based on the best available data at the time of the preparation of this plan, and are considered to be adequate for planning purposes. Maps have only been generated for those hazards that can be clearly identified using mapping techniques and technologies, and for which the Town of Philipstown has significant exposure. These maps are illustrated in the hazard profiles within Section 5.4, Volume I of this Plan.

# 9.8.9 Additional Comments





# Figure 9.8-1. Town of Philipstown Hazard Area Extent and Location Map

Figure 9.8-2. Town of Philipstown Hazard Area Extent and Location Map





Please complete <u>one sheet per action/project</u> with as much detail as possible, using the guidance beginning on page 3 and examples provided by FEMA.

Name of Jurisdiction:	Town of Philipstown, Cold Spring	
Action Number:	PHI-1 (LOI #152)	
Action Name:	Manitou Station Road	

Assessing the Risk							
Hazard(s) addressed:	Flood						
Specific problem being mitigated:	Manitou Station Road is the sole access to 14 homes on Hudson River Lane. Hurricane Sandy storm surge flooded Manitou Station Road preventing access to Hudson River Lane. The condition has existed since Maniotu Station and Mystery Point, (Metro-North train stop) was developed in the late 1800's. Obstruction of access prevents fire and rescue equipment from crossing flooded road; potential for loss of life.						
	Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects						
Actions/Projects Considered	1. No Action, problem continues.						
(name of project and reason	2. Raise road height						
for not selecting):	3. Care for wetlands concerns.						
Ac	tion/Project Intended for Implementation						
Description of Selected Action/Project	Raise a 500 foot section of Monitou Station Road two feet above storm surge.						
Mitigation Action/Project Type	SIP						
Objectives Met							
Applies to existing structures/infrastructure, future, or not applicable	Existing						
Benefits (losses avoided)	Recent Damages:						
Estimated Cost	\$250,000						
Priority*	High						
	Plan for Implementation						
Responsible Organization	Town of Philipstown Highway Department						
Local Planning Mechanism							
Potential Funding Sources	HMGP: 35 7 for Local Match						
Timeline for Completion	Short						
	Reporting on Progress						



Please complete <u>one sheet per action/project</u> with as much detail as possible, using the guidance beginning on page 3 and examples provided by FEMA.

Name of Jurisdiction:	Town of Philipstown, Cold Spring	
Action Number:	PHI-2 (LOI #156)	
Action Name:	Old Manitou Road and Cloudbank Road	

	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed:	Flood
Specific problem being mitigated:	Old Manitou Road is at the base of a steep mountain slope during a rain events the stormwater will wash out Old Manitou Road at Cloudbank Road. Existed since developed over 100 years ago. Public and private cost are estimated at \$10,000 a year.
	Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects
Actions/Projects Considered	1. No action problem continues
(name of project and reason	2. retention creation of storm water
for not selecting):	3. Steep Slope erosion
Ac	tion/Project Intended for Implementation
Description of Selected Action/Project	Using best management practices provide retention/detention of stormwater, re-contour slope to dissipate stormwater energy addition culverts under Old Manitou Road.
Mitigation Action/Project Type	SIP
Objectives Met	
Applies to existing structures/infrastructure, future, or not applicable	Infrastructure, Future
Benefits (losses avoided)	Recent Damages: \$10,000
Estimated Cost	\$100,000
Priority*	
	Plan for Implementation
Responsible Organization	Town of Philipstown, Kevin Donohue, Code Enforcement Officer
Local Planning Mechanism	61
Potential Funding Sources	HMGP;
Timeline for Completion	
	Reporting on Progress
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: Progress on Action/Project:

\* Refer to results of Prioritization (page 2)





Please complete <u>one sheet per action/project</u> with as much detail as possible, using the guidance beginning on page 3 and examples provided by FEMA.

Town of Philipstown, Cold Spring	
PHI-3 (LOI #160)	
Brookside and Valley Lane Mitigation	
	Town of Philipstown, Cold Spring PHI-3 (LOI #160) Brookside and Valley Lane Mitigation

Assessing the Risk		
Hazard(s) addressed:	Flood	
Specific problem being mitigated:	Brookside and Valley Lane are located at the base of a steep mountain next to Sprout Brook Creek. During a rain event stormwater floods streets and homes. Existed since 1940's. Homes are flood damaged. Repetitive loss through NFIP.	
AND STREET, ST	Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects	
Actions/Projects Considered	Using best management practices provide retention/detention of storm water. re-contour slope, restore intermittent stream	
for not selecting):	2 No action – does not resolve the vulnerability	
	3 Replace bridge at Sprout Brook Road.	
	votion/Project Intended for hopkenentstrate	
Description of Selected Action/Project	Using best management practices provide retention/detention of stormwater, re-contour slope, restore seasonal intermittent drainage steams, replace bridge at Sproutbrook Road	
Mitigation Action/Project Type	SIP	
Objectives Met		
Applies to existing structures/infrastructure, future, or not applicable	Existing	
Benefits (losses avoided)	Recent Damages: \$50,000	
Estimated Cost	\$500,000	
Priority*	High	
Plan for Implementation		
Responsible Organization	Town Highway Department	
Local Planning Mechanism	To be completed via RFP process	
Potential Funding Sources	HMGP; 25 <sup>(1)</sup> for Local Match	
Timeline for Completion	Long	
L. Merica Martin Charles Martin	Reporting on Progress	
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: Progress on Action/Project:	





Please complete <u>one sheet per action/project</u> with as much detail as possible, using the guidance beginning on page 3 and examples provided by FEMA.

Name of Jurisdiction:	Town of Philipstown, Cold Spring
Action Number:	PHI-4 (LOI #161)
Action Name:	Barret Pond and Fishkill Road

Assessing the Risk		
Hazard(s) addressed:	Flood	
Specific problem being mitigated:	Barret Pond discharges under a home. During a rain event the stormwater floods four homes creating repetitive losses. Existed since developed 1905's.	
	Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects	
Actions/Projects Considered (name of project and reason for not selecting):	1. ND action - Continued Repetitive loss 2. Aquisition 3.	
Ac	tion/Project Intended for Implementation	
Description of Selected Action/Project	Using best management practices provide retention/detention of stormwater, re-contour slope, re-pipe to county basin.	
Mitigation Action/Project Type		
Objectives Met	SIP or Aquaition	
Applies to existing structures/infrastructure, future, or not applicable	future infrastructure - ore Future Network ban Gael AREA	
Benefits (losses avoided)	Recent Damages: \$75,000	
Estimated Cost	\$100,000 500,000	
Priority*		
(18) 新闻· 19) 新闻· 19]	Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization	Town of Philipstown, Kevin Donohue, Code Enforcement Officer	
Local Planning Mechanism		
Potential Funding Sources	HMGP:for Local Match	
Timeline for Completion		
A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF	Reporting on Progress	
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: Progress on Action/Project:	

\* Refer to results of Prioritization (page 2)





Please complete <u>one sheet per action/project</u> with as much detail as possible, using the guidance beginning on page 3 and examples provided by FEMA.

Name of Jurisdiction:	Town of Philipstown, Cold Spring	
Action Number:	PHI-5 (LOI #162)	
Action Name:	Philips Brook Bridge	

Assessing the Risk		
Hazard(s) addressed:	Flood, Severe Storm	
Specific problem being mitigated:	During a heavy rain event the Philipse Brook Creek bypasses the bridge on Philipesbrook Road causing severe erosion to the road.	
	Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects	
Actions/Projects Considered	1. No Action Damage Construes	
(name of project and reason	2. New Bridge	
for not selecting):	3. Road wash out.	
Ac	tion/Project Intended for Implementation	
Description of Selected Action/Project	Replace Bridge.	
Mitigation Action/Project Type	SIP	
Objectives Met		
Applies to existing structures/infrastructure, future, or not applicable	Existing	
Benefits (losses avoided)	Recent Damages: \$50,000	
Estimated Cost	\$300,000	
Priority*		
	Plan for implementation	
Responsible Organization	Town of Philipstown, Kevin Donohue, Code Enforcement Officer	
Local Planning Mechanism		
Potential Funding Sources	HMGP;for Local Match	
Timeline for Completion		
	Reporting on Progress	
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: Progress on Action/Project:	





Please complete <u>one sheet per action/project</u> with as much detail as possible, using the guidance beginning on page 3 and examples provided by FEMA.

Name of Jurisdiction:	Town of Philipstown, Cold Spring	
Action Number:	PHI-6 (LOI #167)	
Action Name:	Avery Road and Snake Hill Road	

Assessing the Risk		
Hazard(s) addressed:	Flood, Severe Storm	
Specific problem being mitigated:	During a heavy rain event Philips Brook creek damages the home at 7 Avery Road. The bridge over Philips Brook Road is narrow causing flood water to back up and over Avery Road causing damage to the home at 7 Avery Road and severe erosion along Snake Hill	
	Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects	
Actions/Projects Considered	1. No Action Continue repetitive Loss	
(name of project and reason	2. Nev Andse	
for not selecting):	3. To span Flood Plain	
Ac	tion/Project Intended for Implementation	
Description of Selected	Replace the Avery Road Bridge over Philipes Brook Creek, repair stone	
Action/Project	wall banks of creek approximately 800 feet. remove silt, gravel and debris	
Mitigation Action/Project Type	SIP	
Objectives Met		
objectives Met		
Applies to existing	Frieting	
future, or not applicable	roing	
Benefits (losses avoided)	Recent Damages: \$75.000	
benefits (1035e3 avoideu)		
Estimated Cost Priority*	\$500,000	
Thorney	Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization	Town of Philipetown Kevin Donohue Code Enforcement Officer	
Responsible of gamzation	Town of Finingstown, Revin Dononue, Code Enforcement Officer	
Local Planning Mechanism	- Ch	
Potential Funding Sources	HMGP;for Local Match	
Timeline for Completion		
	Reporting on Progress	
Date of Status Report/	Date:	
Report of Progress	Progress on Action/Project:	





Please complete <u>one sheet per action/project</u> with as much detail as possible, using the guidance beginning on page 3 and examples provided by FEMA.

or r minpoto mi, cora opring
(LOI #168)
Brook and Bird/Bottle Inn

Assessing the Risk		
Hazard(s) addressed:	Flood, Severe Storm	
Specific problem being mitigated:	During a heavy rain events Indian brook creek floods over its banks eroding Indian Brook Road, flooding over the bridge on Old Albany Post Road and damaging the Bird and Bottle Inn. Has existed since the 1700's.	
	Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects	
Actions/Projects Considered (name of project and reason for not selecting):	1. No Action that Repetitive less 2. Replace Bridge recontour Flood plain 3. Stabize Flood plain	
Ac	tion/Project Intended for Implementation	
Description of Selected Action/Project	Using best management practices replace the bridge on Old Albany Post Road and amour the banks of Indian Brook Creek from Old Albany Post Road up stream 400 feet.	
Mitigation Action/Project Type	SIP ENRP	
Objectives Met		
Applies to existing structures/infrastructure, future, or not applicable	Existing	
Benefits (losses avoided)	Recent Damages: \$50,000	
Estimated Cost	\$400,000	
Priority*		
La star i solo a seconda se	Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization	Town of Philipstown, Kevin Donohue, Code Enforcement Officer	
Local Planning Mechanism	04	
Potential Funding Sources	HMGP;for Local Match	
Timeline for Completion		
	Reporting on Progress	
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: Progress on Action/Project:	





Please complete <u>one sheet per action/project</u> with as much detail as possible, using the guidance beginning on page 3 and examples provided by FEMA.

Name of Jurisdiction:	Town of Philipstown, Cold Spring	
Action Number:	PHI-8 (LOI #170)	
Action Name:	147 Hustis Road	_

Assessing the Risk		
Hazard(s) addressed:	Flood, Severe Storm	
Specific problem being mitigated:	During a heavy rain event stormwater backups behind 147 Hustis Road redirecting the stormwater away from the existing storm sewer. this has existed foor several years and is caused by storm debris from the forest and a berm.	
	Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects	
Actions/Projects Considered	1. No Action, Flooding Continues	
for not selecting):	3. Protect wetland s	
Ac	tion/Project Intended for Implementation	
Description of Selected Action/Project	Cleaning and restoring the drainage channels for 800 feet. Removing any rock and soil obstacles.	
Mitigation Action/Project Type	NRP	
Objectives Met		
Applies to existing structures/infrastructure, future, or not applicable	Planning Grant	
Benefits (losses avoided)	Recent Damages: \$10,000	
Estimated Cost	\$20,000	
Priority*		
	Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization	Town of Philipstown, Kevin Donohue, Code Enforcement Officer	
Local Planning Mechanism		
Potential Funding Sources	HMGP; 25% for Local Match	
Timeline for Completion		
I STATE OF A STATE AND A STATE	Reporting on Progress	
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: Progress on Action/Project:	

\* Refer to results of Prioritization (page 2)





Please complete <u>one sheet per action/project</u> with as much detail as possible, using the guidance beginning on page 3 and examples provided by FEMA.

Name of Jurisdiction:	Town of Philipstown, Cold Spring	
Action Number:	PHI-9 (LOI #172)	
Action Name:	1143 Old Albany Post Road	

	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed:	Flood, Severe Storm
Specific problem being mitigated:	During a heavy rain event, stormwater emanates from the steep mountain slope to along side Old Albany Post Road crosses the road and inundates 1143 Old Albany Post Road. Existed since the road was constructed 1700's.
AND REAL PROPERTY AND	Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects
Actions/Projects Considered (name of project and reason for not selecting):	1. No Action Continued problem 2. Create Grassy awall 3. protect wetland / worter guility
Ac	tion/Project Intended for Implementation
Description of Selected Action/Project	Using best management practices proved stone and grassy swale and piped to Philipes Brook Creek
Mitigation Action/Project Type	SIPERP
Objectives Met	
Applies to existing structures/infrastructure, future, or not applicable	Future in frastructure
Benefits (losses avoided)	Recent Damages: \$5,000
Estimated Cost	\$20,000
Priority*	
	Plan for Implementation
<b>Responsible Organization</b>	Town of Philipstown, Kevin Donohue, Code Enforcement Officer
Local Planning Mechanism	- Oh
Potential Funding Sources	HMGP;for Local Match
Timeline for Completion	
2011年1月1日 日本語	Reporting on Progress
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: Progress on Action/Project:





Please complete <u>one sheet per action/project</u> with as much detail as possible, using the guidance beginning on page 3 and examples provided by FEMA.

Name of Jurisdiction:	Town of Philipstown, Cold Spring	
Action Number:	PHI-10 (LOI #173)	
Action Name:	Old Albany Post Rd and Sprout Brook Rd	

Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed:	Flood, Severe Storm
Specific problem being mitigated:	During a heavy rain event the creek parallel to Old Albany Post Rd erodes and deposit the soil onto private property filling in a pond and diverting the creek into a private garage. Repetitive loss and loss of rear yard. The flooding has increases each year.
	Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects
Actions/Projects Considered (name of project and reason for not selecting):	1. No Action - Flooding Continues 2. Engineer 3.
Act	tion/Project Intended for Implementation
Description of Selected Action/Project	Using best management practices, clean debris from creek and pond. Restore channel to Sprout Brook Creek
Mitigation Action/Project Type	NRP
Objectives Met	
Applies to existing structures/infrastructure, future, or not applicable	Planning Great
Benefits (losses avoided)	Recent Damages: \$5,000
Estimated Cost	\$20,000
Priority*	
	Plan for Implementation
Responsible Organization	Town of Philipstown, Kevin Donohue, Code Enforcement Officer
Local Planning Mechanism	01
Potential Funding Sources	HMGP; 25/2 for Local Match
Timeline for Completion	
Reporting on Progress	
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: Progress on Action/Project:

\* Refer to results of Prioritization (page 2)





# **Mitigation Action Worksheet - Generator**

Please complete <u>one sheet per action/project</u> with as much detail as possible, using the guidance beginning on page 3 and examples provided by FEMA.

Name of Jurisdiction:	Town of Philipstown
Number:	PHI-11
Mitigation Action/Initiative:	Back-Up Generator for Radio Tower - 59 Lane Gate Road

Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed:	Hurricane, Nor'Easter, Severe Storm, Severe Winter Storm, Earthquake
Specific problem being mitigated:	High wind events and winter storms have caused the widespread loss of electrical power, including power to Radio Tower. Radio Tower is a critical facility in that it provides services for Emergency Operations and Town Personnel. Loss of power forces the Town to transfer operations to other locations while operating at a greatly diminished capacity
	Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects
Actions/Projects Considered (name of project and reason for not selecting):	1. Tree Trimming-remove tree branches that may fall onto power lines causing power outages
	2. Bury Power Lines. This option is not being pursued as it is cost prohibitive due to the Town does not have the legal authority to bury the lines.
Action/Project Intended for Implementation	
Description of Selected Action/Project	Install a permanent generator at Radio Tower. It will have sufficient capacity to allow the Town to quickly respond to the Town's internal and community's needs while allowing the business continuity.
Mitigation Action/Project Type	Structure and Infrastructure Project
Objectives Met	?
Applies to existing structures/infrastructure, future, or not applicable	Existing
Benefits (losses avoided)	We will be able to continue emergency services and Town Highway communications.
	Recent Damages: - Loss of Service during 2014 Spring and Summer Storms
Estimated Cost	Unknown
Friday States Alexander	Plan for Implementation
Fian for implementation	
Responsible Organization	Town of Philipstown: Roger Chirico, Highway Department Supervisor
Local Planning Mechanism	Municipal Budget-Funds will be requested during the next budget cycle for matching funds for a FEMA grant.
<b>Potential Funding Sources</b>	FEMA HMPG, Town budget for local match



Putnam County Hazard Mitigation Plan – 2014 August 2014



Please complete <u>one sheet per action/project</u> with as much detail as possible, using the guidance beginning on page 3 and examples provided by FEMA.

Name of Jurisdiction:	Garrison Volunteer Fire Co., Inc., Garrison	
Action Number:	PHI-X (LOI #2187)	
Action Name:	Garrison VFD Backup Power	

Assessing the Risk			
Hazard(s) addressed:	Severe Storm; Severe Winter Storm (Utility Outages)		
Specific problem being mitigated:	The Garrison Volunteer Fire Co., (aka Garrison Fire Dept - "GFD") is a 100% volunteer not-for-profit NYS Fire Corporation, contracted to the Town of Philipstown to provide fire and rescue services in an area known as the Garrison Fire Protection District.		
	Evaluation of Potential Actions/Projects		
Actions/Projects Considered	1.		
(name of project and reason	2.		
for not selecting):	3.		
Ac	Action/Project Intended for Implementation		
	GFD Hazard Mitigation Project - Proposed Mitigation Measures		
Description of Selected	(Total request for both fire stations: \$770,000) GED proposes the installation of Solar Resilience Systems to allow		
Action/110ject	operations during extended electric grid outages for each of the two fire		
Mitigation Action/Project Type			
Objectives Met			
Applies to existing structures/infrastructure,			
future, or not applicable			
Benefits (losses avoided)	Recent Damages: \$50,000		
Estimated Cost	\$770,000		
Priority*			
	Plan for Implementation		
Responsible Organization	Garrison Volunteer Fire Co., Inc., Peter von Bergen. Vice President		
Local Planning Mechanism			
Potential Funding Sources	HMGP; for Local Match		
Timeline for Completion			
Reporting on Progress			
Date of Status Report/ Report of Progress	Date: Progress on Action/Project:		

